

# झारखण्ड कर्मचारी चयन आयोग,

कालीनगर, चायबगान, नामकोम, राँची-834010.

e-mail- jharkhand\_ssc@rediffmail.com

## झारखण्ड प्रारंभिक विद्यालय प्रशिक्षित सहायक आचार्य

### संयुक्त प्रतियोगिता परीक्षा-2023

विज्ञापन संख्या-13 / 2023

आवश्यक सूचना-94

### संशोधित अतिरिक्त परीक्षाफल

दिनांक-05.12.2025

स्कूली, शिक्षा एवं साक्षरता विभाग, झारखण्ड के पत्रांक-1697, दिनांक-21.08.2025, पत्रांक-1703, दिनांक-22.08.2025 एवं पत्रांक-1705, दिनांक-23.08.2025 के माध्यम से माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय की त्रि-सदस्यीय पीठ द्वारा सिविल अपील संख्या-8259 / 2019 Govt. of NCT Delhi and Other Vs. Pradeep Kumar and others वाद में दिनांक-24.10.2019 को पारित न्यायादेश के अनुपालन हेतु झारखण्ड प्रारंभिक विद्यालय प्रशिक्षित सहायक आचार्य संयुक्त प्रतियोगिता परीक्षा-2023 के अंतर्गत इंटरमीडिएट प्रशिक्षित सहायक आचार्य (कक्षा-1 से 5) तथा स्नातक प्रशिक्षित सहायक आचार्य (कक्षा-6 से 8) के अंतर्गत गणित एवं विज्ञान शिक्षक, सामाजिक विज्ञान शिक्षक एवं भाषा शिक्षक के प्रथम चरण के तहत पूर्व प्रकाशित परीक्षाफल को संशोधित करने हेतु निदेशित किया गया है। पारित न्यायादेश की मुख्य बिन्दुएँ निम्नवत् हैं:-

19. The above excerpts reveal the Court's concern for maintaining equality in the recruitment process. However, in the present recruitment process, in the absence of a compensatory disadvantage or balancing factor, the ratio in Vikas Sankhala cannot be applied for the respondents who obtained CTET qualification by virtue of concession given to OBC categories. In other words, the concession benefit is not neutralized in the Delhi recruitment process. Thus, a level playing field and a fair treatment is not achieved, by inappropriately applying the ratio of Vikas Sankhala without having regard to the peculiarity of facts of that case where, a different selection yardstick was applied.

20. As noted above, although there was no balancing out of the relaxation for the selection process in Delhi unlike the process in Vikas Sankhala's decision, the CAT erroneously applied the ratio of the Rajasthan case for giving relief to the respondents.

21.1 In Vikas Sankhala, the Court considered the implication of the Circular dated 11.05.2011 issued by the Department of Personnel, government of Rajasthan, (A, Gr.II) bearing ref.no.No.F.7(1) DOP/A-II/99 that expressly allowed migration to the unreserved category irrespective of any concession availed by the candidate of the reserved category if he/she had secured more marks than the last Unreserved category candidate who is selected. But here the OMs dated 01.07.1998 and 04.04.2018 issued by the Department of Personnel & Training would bear consideration. Contrary to the circular dated 11.05.2011 in

*Vikas Sankhala (supra)*, the two OMs referred by Ms Divan, issued specific instructions to the effect that when a relaxed standard is applied in selecting a reserved category candidate, in age limit, experience, qualification, additional chances in written examination etc., such candidates will be counted against reserved vacancies.

21.2 For better understanding, the implications of the afore noted OM dated 01.07.1998 (ref.36011/1/98-Estt.(Res), Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions), the relevant portion is extracted below:-

“..... 3.  
In this connection, it is clarified that only such SC/ST/OBC candidates who are selected on the same standard as applied to general candidates shall not be adjusted against reserved vacancies. In other words, when a relaxed standard is applied in selecting an SC/ST/OBC candidates, for example in the age limit, experience, qualification, permitted number of chances in written examination, extended zone of consideration larger than what is provided for general category candidates etc., the SC/ST/OBC candidates are to be counted against reserved vacancies. Such candidates would be deemed as unavailable for consideration against unreserved vacancies.....”

21.3 In the same context, the relevant part of the second OM dated 04.04.2018 (Ref.No.F.No.43011/4/2018-Estt.(Res.)) issued by the Ministry of Personnel, Government of India), reiterating in substance, what was stated in the earlier O.M. of 01.07.1998, is extracted as below, for ready reference:-

“.....As  
per instructions issued vide this Department’s OM No.36012/2/96-Estt.(Res) dated 02.07.1997, in direct recruitments to Central Government jobs and services, the reserve category candidates who are selected on the same standards as applied to general candidates will not be adjusted against reserved vacancies. As per instructions issued vide DOP & T OM No.36011/1/98-Estt.(Res) dated 01.07.1998, only when a relaxed standard is applied in selecting a reserved candidates, for example in the age limit, experience, qualification, permitted number of chances in written examination, etc., such candidates will be counted against reserved vacancies .....”

22. From the above extract of the two OMs, it is quite apparent that, unlike in *Vikas Sankhala*, there is an express bar on migration to the unreserved category of those reserved category candidates who had availed of relaxation including those for qualification. The prescription of the eligibility qua CTET, in the advertisement, will therefore have to be understood bearing in mind, the contents of the OM dated 01.07.1998. To apply the advertisement in the present facts will not be correct. The same OM dated 01.07.1998 was considered in *Deepa EV v Union of India*<sup>2</sup> and we feel that the Court was correct in the view, vis-à-vis the OM dated 01.07.1998.

23. The other distinguishing aspect in *Vikas Sankhala (supra)* is that the candidates who had applied under the reserved category belonged to Rajasthan. For the selection and aspirants from the same State i.e., Rajasthan, the Court allowed such candidates to

migrate to the unreserved category. In the present case, however, the candidates (i.e. the respondents) belong to States other than Delhi. Being OBC (outsiders), they could have been considered only under the unreserved category if they secure at least 60% marks in the CTET. The respondents admittedly did not secure 60% and thus were ineligible. Moreover, an OBC candidate not certified in the State/Territory outside of Delhi cannot be eligible to avail of employment in reserved category posts earmarked for OBCs who are certified by the Delhi Government.

24. It is important to keep in mind that the respondents are competing for general category vacancies. All others in this group have obtained their CTET eligibility qualification, securing the normal pass marks without availing any relaxation of pass norms. On the other hand, the respondents despite their lesser marks in the CTET examination, could qualify only because they availed the relaxation benefits as OBC category examinees. Their eligibility qualification is secured under relaxed norms meant for OBC category and therefore we do not think it is proper to consider them to be eligible for the general category vacancies and contention to the contrary is unacceptable.

25. The respondents with their CTET qualification under relaxed norms would be eligible for OBC category posts provided their OBC status is certified and recognized by the Delhi government. But such not being the case, they are ineligible for the reserved category vacancies. To allow them to migrate and compete for the open category vacancies would not be permissible simply because, they have secured the CTET qualification with relaxation of pass marks meant for those belonging to the OBC category. As the respondents have not secured the normal pass marks for general category, their eligibility for the general category vacancies is not secured. Therefore, their performance in the selection examination would be of no relevance, in the present process.

26. As earlier discussed, this case concerns qualifications obtained with concession in pass marks. Such concession would have a direct impact on standards of competence and merit in the recruitment of Special Education Teachers. The principles of reservation under the Constitution of India are intended to be confined to a specifically earmarked category and the unreserved category must be protected, to avoid dilution of competence and merit. If *Vikas Sankhala (supra)* is interpreted shorn of its peculiar facts, as has been suggested by the respondents' counsel, it would in our perception, considering that respondents secured the qualification under relaxed norms, would lead to dilution of merit in the unreserved category. The arguments made to the contrary by the respondents is therefore rejected.

27. In view of the forgoing, the High Court and the Tribunal erred in granting relief to the respondents. The impugned judgment of the High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition (C) No. 557 of 2019 dated 21.1.2019 is set aside and this appeal is allowed. No order as to costs.

2. माननीय सर्वोच्च न्यायालय द्वारा पारित उपर्युक्त न्यायादेश एवं तदनुसार प्राप्त विभागीय निदेश के अनुपालन में झारखण्ड प्रारंभिक विद्यालय प्रशिक्षित सहायक आचार्य संयुक्त प्रतियोगिता परीक्षा-2023 के अंतर्गत जेटेट में प्राप्त आरक्षण के आधार पर अपने आरक्षण कोटि में उत्तीर्ण अभ्यर्थियों को अनारक्षित कोटि से अपनी आरक्षण कोटि में स्थानान्तरित करने के साथ-साथ पारा कोटि के वैसे अभ्यर्थी, जिनके द्वारा अधिकतम उम्र सीमा की छूट एवं रियायत प्राप्त की गई है, को अनारक्षित कोटि से अपनी आरक्षण कोटि में स्थानान्तरित करते हुए संशोधित परीक्षाफल आवश्यक सूचना संख्या 87 दिनांक 07.09.2025 द्वारा, आवश्यक सूचना

संख्या 88 दिनांक 09.09.2025 एवं 90 दिनांक 16.09.2025 द्वारा संशोधन, आवश्यक सूचना संख्या 92 दिनांक 17.10.2025 द्वारा अतिरिक्त परीक्षाफल तथा आवश्यक सूचना संख्या 93 दिनांक 07.11.2025 द्वारा बायोमैट्रिक मिलान से संबंधित अतिरिक्त परीक्षाफल प्रकाशित किया गया है।

उक्त प्रकाशित परीक्षाफल के अतिरिक्त इंटरमीडिएट प्रशिक्षित सहायक आचार्य (कक्षा-1 से 5) का अतिरिक्त परीक्षाफल निम्नवत् प्रकाशित किया जाता है:-

SL. NO.	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TEACHER TYPE	CATEGORY	DISTRICT
1	2	3	4	5
1	111216389	NON PARA	SC	BOKARO
2	124321393	NON PARA	BC-II	BOKARO
3	125609857	NON PARA	UR	BOKARO
4	127335225	NON PARA	BC-II	BOKARO
5	124726624	PARA TEACHER	UR	BOKARO
6	133299579	PARA TEACHER	UR	BOKARO
7	136329544	PARA TEACHER	UR	BOKARO
8	139034677	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	BOKARO
9	103004795	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
10	115247496	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
11	115377882	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
12	118537472	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
13	121019501	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
14	121119266	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
15	122645883	NON PARA	BC-II	CHATRA
16	122932587	NON PARA	BC-II	CHATRA
17	127241537	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
18	133761748	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
19	138143798	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
20	139222319	NON PARA	EBC-I	CHATRA
21	126451105	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	CHATRA
22	128794642	PARA TEACHER	UR	CHATRA

SL. NO.	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TEACHER TYPE	CATEGORY	DISTRICT
1	2	3	4	5
23	134765607	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	CHATRA
24	135931763	PARA TEACHER	UR	CHATRA
25	145113852	PARA TEACHER	UR	CHATRA
26	145799726	PARA TEACHER	UR	CHATRA
27	149304345	PARA TEACHER	UR	CHATRA
28	111424461	NON PARA	BC-II	DEOGHAR
29	114379071	NON PARA	BC-II	DEOGHAR
30	121801336	NON PARA	UR	DEOGHAR
31	124252499	PARA TEACHER	UR	DEOGHAR
32	125918448	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	DEOGHAR
33	129309631	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	DEOGHAR
34	131851555	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	DEOGHAR
35	135902127	PARA TEACHER	UR	DEOGHAR
36	136677872	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	DEOGHAR
37	138901017	PARA TEACHER	BC-II-DD	DEOGHAR
38	143433528	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	DEOGHAR
39	144149315	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	DEOGHAR
40	144308055	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	DEOGHAR
41	147735016	PARA TEACHER	UR	DEOGHAR
42	149347442	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	DEOGHAR
43	111407249	NON PARA	BC-II	DHANBAD
44	117219173	NON PARA	BC-II	DHANBAD

SL. NO.	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TEACHER TYPE	CATEGORY	DISTRICT
1	2	3	4	5
45	117512492	NON PARA	BC-II	DHANBAD
46	129003855	NON PARA	BC-II	DHANBAD
47	135591628	NON PARA	BC-II	DHANBAD
48	136813747	NON PARA	BC-II	DHANBAD
49	138113996	NON PARA	SC	DHANBAD
50	139533532	NON PARA	SC	DHANBAD
51	141914322	NON PARA	UR	DHANBAD
52	146494272	NON PARA	BC-II	DHANBAD
53	118881763	PARA TEACHER	UR	DHANBAD
54	133992805	PARA TEACHER	UR	DHANBAD
55	144216134	PARA TEACHER	UR	DHANBAD
56	147289212	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	DHANBAD
57	115054486	NON PARA	UR	DUMKA
58	127033248	NON PARA	UR	DUMKA
59	129313975	NON PARA	UR	DUMKA
60	132696343	NON PARA	UR	DUMKA
61	109563639	PARA TEACHER	UR	DUMKA
62	121962653	PARA TEACHER	UR	DUMKA
63	142071063	PARA TEACHER	UR	DUMKA
64	108972157	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
65	112091728	NON PARA	ST	EAST SINGHBHUM
66	115125941	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
67	117367661	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
68	122892911	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
69	122929804	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
70	124541674	NON PARA	BC-II	EAST SINGHBHUM
71	128015675	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
72	135212791	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
73	135449605	NON PARA	UR	EAST SINGHBHUM
74	154043577	NON PARA	EBC-I	EAST SINGHBHUM

SL. NO.	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TEACHER TYPE	CATEGORY	DISTRICT
1	2	3	4	5
75	138105539	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	EAST SINGHBHUM
76	142696946	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	EAST SINGHBHUM
77	128467674	NON PARA	EBC-I	GARHWA
78	146791404	NON PARA	BC-II	GARHWA
79	121891443	PARA TEACHER	UR	GARHWA
80	134853687	PARA TEACHER	EWS	GARHWA
81	142194062	PARA TEACHER	UR	GARHWA
82	147602085	PARA TEACHER	UR	GARHWA
83	109875335	NON PARA	UR	GIRIDIH
84	128615288	NON PARA	UR	GIRIDIH
85	136884817	NON PARA	BC-II	GIRIDIH
86	142015085	NON PARA	EBC-I	GIRIDIH
87	143207769	NON PARA	UR-PCCP	GIRIDIH
88	112866991	PARA TEACHER	UR	GIRIDIH
89	121527721	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	GIRIDIH
90	124762034	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	GIRIDIH
91	142266586	PARA TEACHER	UR	GIRIDIH
92	149294072	PARA TEACHER	UR	GIRIDIH
93	152134589	PARA TEACHER	UR	GIRIDIH
94	122096238	NON PARA	EBC-I	GODDA
95	142235302	NON PARA	EWS	GODDA
96	138917314	PARA TEACHER	SC	GODDA
97	111594607	NON PARA	UR	HAZARIBAGH
98	126112948	NON PARA	EBC-I-PCCP	HAZARIBAGH
99	144654857	NON PARA	UR	HAZARIBAGH
100	125927208	PARA TEACHER	UR	HAZARIBAGH
101	131962919	PARA TEACHER	UR	HAZARIBAGH
102	139195544	PARA TEACHER	UR	HAZARIBAGH
103	127228099	NON PARA	ST	JAMTARA
104	125842004	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	JAMTARA

SL. NO.	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TEACHER TYPE	CATEGORY	DISTRICT
1	2	3	4	5
105	134647428	PARA TEACHER	UR	JAMTARA
106	141056854	NON PARA	UR	KODARMA
107	139432069	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	KODARMA
108	123371037	NON PARA	UR	LATEHAR
109	107856437	PARA TEACHER	UR	LATEHAR
110	127697208	PARA TEACHER	UR	LATEHAR
111	141327776	PARA TEACHER	UR	LATEHAR
112	144568085	PARA TEACHER	EWS	LATEHAR
113	149337626	PARA TEACHER	UR	LATEHAR
114	117977552	NON PARA	UR	PAKUR
115	104203563	NON PARA	UR	PALAMU
116	111995457	NON PARA	UR	PALAMU
117	118425088	NON PARA	EBC-I	PALAMU
118	123906428	NON PARA	UR	PALAMU
119	133018481	NON PARA	SC	PALAMU
120	138127456	NON PARA	EWS	PALAMU
121	143133518	NON PARA	UR-PCCP	PALAMU
122	108108091	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
123	115906509	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
124	117095907	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
125	122734569	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
126	126977547	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
127	127114293	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	PALAMU
128	128914576	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
129	129877055	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
130	131762244	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
131	132506528	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU

SL. NO.	REGISTRATION NUMBER	TEACHER TYPE	CATEGORY	DISTRICT
1	2	3	4	5
132	134227514	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
133	136543857	PARA TEACHER	BC-II	PALAMU
134	137558331	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
135	138935403	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
136	142172823	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
137	145115374	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
138	147426514	PARA TEACHER	UR	PALAMU
139	101218183	NON PARA	ST	RAMGARH
140	144207427	NON PARA	UR	RAMGARH
141	104558705	NON PARA	UR	RANCHI
142	107008709	NON PARA	EBC-I	RANCHI
143	125334413	NON PARA	EBC-I	RANCHI
144	139214516	NON PARA	UR	RANCHI
145	145349327	NON PARA	UR	RANCHI
146	129544945	PARA TEACHER	UR	RANCHI
147	129969964	PARA TEACHER	UR	RANCHI
148	113363257	NON PARA	UR	SARAIKELA KHARSAWAN
149	118467898	NON PARA	UR	SARAIKELA KHARSAWAN
150	142001253	NON PARA	EBC-I	SARAIKELA KHARSAWAN
151	126843099	PARA TEACHER	EBC-I	SARAIKELA KHARSAWAN
152	133538996	PARA TEACHER	UR	SARAIKELA KHARSAWAN
153	147282292	PARA TEACHER	ST	SARAIKELA KHARSAWAN
154	102941275	NON PARA	ST	WEST SINGHBHUM
155	111475342	NON PARA	UR	WEST SINGHBHUM
156	121604322	PARA TEACHER	UR	WEST SINGHBHUM
157	139546343	PARA TEACHER	UR	WEST SINGHBHUM

नोट:- 1. WP(S) No. 4049/ 2023, बहादुर महतो एवं अन्य बनाम स्कूली शिक्षा एवं साक्षरता विभाग, झारखण्ड एवं अन्य, WP(S) No. 5594/ 2023, डमरूधर साहु एवं अन्य बनाम झारखण्ड राज्य एवं अन्य में माननीय झारखण्ड उच्च न्यायालय द्वारा पारित अंतिम आदेश से उक्त

परीक्षाफल में संशोधन संभावित है। संशोधन के क्रम में सफल अभ्यर्थियों के आवंटित जिला में परिवर्तन संभव है।

2. अनिवार्य प्रमाण पत्रों/अभिलेखों की अनुपलब्धता/अस्पष्टता के आलोक में कतिपय अभ्यर्थियों का परीक्षाफल लंबित रखा गया है। इस संबंध में संबंधित अभ्यर्थियों द्वारा उपलब्ध कराए जाने वाले प्रमाण पत्रों/प्राप्त किए जाने वाले विभागीय मार्गदर्शन के आधार पर आयोग के निर्णयोपरान्त परीक्षाफल में संशोधन संभावित है। संशोधन के क्रम में सफल अभ्यर्थियों के आवंटित जिला/कोटि में परिवर्तन संभव है।

3. प्रकाशित परीक्षाफल में किसी प्रकार की त्रुटि अथवा टंकण त्रुटि के सुधार का अधिकार आयोग के पास सुरक्षित रहेगा।

ह./—  
परीक्षा नियंत्रक।